Previous references:

1904-5
(Jan a)

File No. 257

Serial Nos. 5, 7, 8 & 9

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B. for February

SUBJECT.

Seeking for permission to adopt a son from the pensioners of the Chitaldrug Pollegar family

FILE No. 257 of 1904-05

Seeking for permission to adopt a son from the Pensioners of the Chitaldrug Pallegar Family.

5	From the Officer in charge of the State Huzur Treasury, No. 18, dated 26th November 1904. Submits two petitions from Hanumamma Nagathi, Pallegar of Chitaldrug, praying for permission to adopt a son.
7	To the Officer in charge of the State Huzur Treasury, No. Fl. 3745, dated 21st December 1904. Requests to be furnished with a copy of Government Order No. 7—G. F. 142 of 1892, dated 19th August 1895.
8	From the Officer in charge of the State Huzur Treasury, No. 21, dated 22nd—23rd December 1904. Forwards the copy of Government Order referred to above.
9	To Hanumamma Nagathi, No. Fl. 5519, dated 20th February 1905. Informs her that the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore are not prepared to reconsider the order of the 19th August 1895, refusing her permission to adopt a son.

G. O. No. 7—G. F. 142 of 1892, dated 19th August 1895.

G. R. S. 11] 1904.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

II
'S&A (Rev. Pens)'

NOTES.

File No. 257 of 1904-05

FILE HEADING.

Pension granted in the Chitaldrug Polleyar Family.

From

The Officer in charge of the State Huzur treasury.

No. 18 Dated 26. 11. 1904. Received 28. 11. 1904

Diary No. 2047. | Enclos. 2 | Spare Copies. | Serial No. 5

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits the petitions from Hanmamma Polleyar of Chitaldrug praying ~~that~~ for permission being granted to her for ~~as~~ adopting a son to her.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The Officer in charge of the State Huzur treasury states in his prayer on the same subject ~~subject~~ was rejected once before. The policy of the Govt of His Highness the Maharaja as enunciated in G.O. No. 1678 of the 29th Oct. 1867 is said to be applicable to the case under reference

Z. not forthcoming for the Govt Records.

NOTES—First sheet.

MGCPB—25,000—18-8-04

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

S&A File No. 257 of 1904-05

Serial No.	
	the spirit and expression of On the other hand the G. O. of October 1867 would seem to strengthen the view to permit the adoption and to recognise such adoption as valid for the purpose of succession to a Palleyam pension. The boy that is proposed to be adopted is one of the sons of Jaimpanna Naick – ஜெயபன்ன நாயக்கர் – presumably of the Chitaldrug Poleyam family. If approved the Dy Coll. of Chitaldrug will be requested to report as to the exact relationship of the boy selected for adoption to Hanumanna.
	N 13/12/04
	Subd. 14/12/04. Please ask the Khazana Shakdar to send a Copy of G.O. no PP7 dt 19.8.1895 G.O. 421 of 1892
	Noted 14/12/04
	Draft is submitted for approval – Passed 15/12/04
	N 15/12/04.
17/12	
7	To The Officer in charge of the State Huzur Treasury Docket no 3745 of the 21.12.1904 – Requests that a copy of G.O. no 7 dt 19th August 1895 may be furnished for reference. G.O. 421 of 1892
8	From the Officer in charge of the State Huzur Treasury Letter no 21 of the 22nd December 1904 Furnishes a copy of the G.O. referred to the above

NOTE—Contn. sheet

MGCPB—20,000—1-11-04.

G.R.S. 12

General and Revenue Secretariat.

le No. 257 of 1904

Serial No.

Submitted for orders
9/4/05. 4/11/05

The policy enunciated in G.O. of the 28 October 87 is that "no native state should refuse its recognition to any adoption [illegible] made from among the next a[illegible]

Petitioner states that her late husband had married thrice and that she is the third widow. It does not appear that the other two are alive and have made any adoption. The petition may be referred to the Deputy Commissioner of the district in which the petitioner resides, for ascertaining and reporting the facts of the case the circumstances connected with the petitioner's family, and whether the boy proposed to be adopted is of nearest kin and there is any objection to the adoption being recognized by government.

Since writing the above note the office has put up the additional papers called for by me from which I find that Petitioner Hanumamma's husband Parasuram Naik had two brothers Deevap[illegible] and Lingal Naik. Deevappa Naik died in 1895 his widow Lakshmamma got his [illegible] which was subsequently registered in the [illegible] son to whom the said [illegible]

MGCPB—20,000—1-11-0

Serial No.

after her husbands death. On that occasion Venkatarath, present petitioner Hanumamma and her daughter Satyamma unsuccessfully claimed the stipend which lapsed on the death of Seevappa Naik. At that time Hanumamma also claimed the lapsed Kitkeri at Doonsh. - Naik mother Satyamma and applied for permission to adopt a son to her deceased husband Parameswara Naik. His application was also rejected As the prayer to adopt was refused - the reasons for which are not quite apparent - more than ~~two~~ ten years ago it is unexpedient to allow her to reiterate her prayer now though under the Hindu law she is competent to adopt a son at any time with proper authority of her Kinsmen for purposes contemplated by Hindu law. May an endorsement be granted simply declining to renew the order of 1895?

noted very
Yes 2f
8.2.1905 6205
8205

Draft Endorsement put up
14/2/05 14/2/05

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

S & A File No. 257 of 1904

Serial No 7

To

Hanumamma Nagaiah

9 No. H. 5579 d 20.2.05.

Informs her that the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja are not prepared to reconsider the order of the 19th August 1895 refusing to grant her the permission to adopt a son

MGCPB—20,000—1-11-04.

Dearg Dr. 2047

Prot. 8/Ex 2

State Huzur Treasury
Bangalore 26th Novr 04

From

B Gopalianyar Esqr
Khazana Bakshi
State Huzur Treasury
Bangalore

To B. K Venkataravadianyar Esqr
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore General + Revenue
Departments
Bangalore

Sir

In P.P. No 7 / G.F. 421 of 1892 dated 19th August 1895 the Government have passed orders refusing to grant permission to Hammamma to adopt a Son to her deceased husband Paramanappa Naik and this fact was communicated to her by means of Kannarese endorsement at the time Notwithstanding

— thus, She has now sent by post
The accompanying petition dated
22nd instant giving cover to a re-
-presentation addressed to His
Highness the Maharaja, reopen-
-ing the question without —
allusion to the previous order.

2. The order No 1678 of October
1887 quoted by Hammamma
in the representation is based
on a quite different ground
and relates to the case of —
Gangamma, widow of Dodda
Krishnappa Naik of Manjarabad
Polligar family, in which the
adoption previously made
was under special circum-
-stances and as an act of

grace ratified by Government

3 The representation being addressed to His Highness the Maharaja I beg to submit that I have refrained from referring Hanumanna myself to the order of 1895 referred to in the first para above

I have the honor to be

Your most Obedient Servant

B Jagannayya

Khajana Bakshi

State Treasurer Treasury

Pol Reg No 28

Received under Government Memorandum Reference dated 25/11/1924

కాకినాడ 22-11-1924

25/11

శ్రీమన్మహిసూర్యమహాగణాధిపతయే నమో నమః
బాలచంద్రధరధర్మైకవీరాయ నమో నమః
నమో బ్రహ్మధరపతయే నమః

చల్లపల్లికిరీటియు అష్టాదశపురాణప్రతిష్ఠాపనాచార్యులు
శాస్త్రములు అంధ్రవరవరేణ్యులు బహుగ్రంథకర్తలు
రుబరదులు కొంతచిన్నవత్తలూరి వేంకటరత్నం దొరలు

నాకు దత్తుడైన కారణమూలకమ్ముగా వ్రాయవిడువారు
దివ్యశ్రీమన్మహిసూరులమహాగణాధిపతులకు భక్తులమైన ఒక
అంధ్రబ్రాహ్మణకవిపండితులకు ఆదిదేవమహాగణాధిపతి
అంతరంగవిజ్ఞాపనావిశేషములు తరచుగా వ్రాయుచుండగా
రుక్మిణీసత్యభామలు అవలీలగా శ్రీమన్మహాగణాధిప
నా మీఁదిచిరద్వారవరఅవలీలగా బహుగ్రంథములు
వరుసగా అయిపోయినకొలఁదిని కొట్టలు అల్లుచుదండం
గా అనిరంతరసదుశరవల్లి నవనీతలోనిచేదేంత విగుంటు
పోతకంగా నిప్రార్థిద్దాము శ్రీమహాగణాధిపతి

ఓం శ్రీమన్మహాగణాధిపతయే నమో నమః

Pol
Reg No 29

కాకినాడ 22-11-1923 తేదీన వ్రాసిన

శ్రీమత్కోకనూరు కాంజు రాజు కృష్ణరాజు ఒక

యుద్ధ బుద్ధూరధర దివ్యగజ్జిభూగద ఖడ్గ

భృత్తి ధిరుపుడు ఆశ్రయు చిందజీవ భాగూడు రచిత్రదుగర్

దభాళ్యకాకోధరు శంమువ్వ కాంక్ష రధర థమర్థశ్రీరుళ్

మమ్మైగగశియు విజ్ఞాపుర్థర్థాపాసి క్రాధిర్థూవదోన్ధ్రత్తి

కాళ్యకాకోధరు శంమువ్వ కాంక్ష రవరు కృష్ణగ

స్తీరాసువదంబ్రవదల్లబరీదవిలో ఆ ఊర్ధ్వ ఉత్తరసిపూ

డింయూన 5-6-1923 భాంతిపేట్ పట్ణ్ణ్ణ

G.R.S. 26]

File No 257/1/1904

Serial No

Diary No. of letter replied to 2047

No. H 3745

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Pensions)
the 190

To

The Khazanchi Officer in charge of
the State Hazur Treasury.

Date of issue 21-11-04

Signed by Mr. M. N. Krishna Rao

Sir, I have the honor to request that a copy of GO No. 7 (E 7 42/4/1892) of the 19th August 1895 refusing to grant permission to Hanumamma to adopt a son may be furnished for reference with reference to connection with the letter No. 187 of the 26.11.04 &c.

By order

Asst 17/11/04
for Secretary

BK

over

SUBJECT.

T/ Dairy 23/12

No 21/En

S & R

D No 2552

257-04

(8)

State Huzur Treasury
Bangalore 22nd/23 Decr 04

From

B Gopalaiengar Esqr
Khazana Bakshi
State Huzur Treasury
Bangalore

To B.K Venkataivarada Iyengar Esqr
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments
Bangalore

Sir

With reference to your docket No
H 3745 / St A 257-04 I have the honor to enclose herewith a
copy of Government Proceedings No 7/G.742 of
1892 dated the 19th August 1895

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Khazana Bakshi
State Huzur Treasury

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore.

—·—

Read.-

Petition no 788/132 dated 20th May 1895 from Hanumamma, widow of Perasumma Naik, praying That Satyamma's pension may be transferred to her and That permission to adopt a son may be given to her

—

Order Thereon P.P. No 7 / G.O. 74 21 of 1892 Dated Bangalore 19th August 1895.

—·—

Hanumamma should be informed in reply to her petitions That the orders of the Government regarding the pension of Satyamma and the legitimacy of Lingal Naik are final and will not be re-opened; and that the Government do not see sufficient reason to grant her permission to adopt a son to her deceased husband Parasuram Naik

Sdl. V. N. Narasimmayengar
General Secretary.

—·—

Copy

By Malleraw

Bangalore
22-12-04
123

Krishna Butkshi
State Huzur-Treasury

No. H. 5519
S&A. 257-04

MYSORE GOVT. GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT,
Dated, Bangalore, 20 — 2 — 1905.

ENDORSEMENT GRANTED TO Hanumamma
~~Pattegar~~ Pollegar of Chitaldrug residing ~~Bellary~~ Chellakere

in reply to her Petition Registered No. 2047 dated 28 11. 1904
to
praying for permission ~~being granted for his~~
adoption of Son ~~Hon~~

Petitioner is informed that the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja are not prepared to ~~to~~
~~district~~
~~Collr~~ this order ~~pass~~ of the 14th august 1899
Refusing to grant her the permission to adopt
Son

By Order,

16/2/1905

~~Asst~~ Secretary.

14/2/05

14.2.05

Priced Rs 18/-